JOC The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Note

pubs.acs.org/joc

Synthesis of Vinyl Chlorides via Triphosgene–Pyridine Activation of Ketones

Mirza A. Saputra, Ly Ngo,[†] and Rendy Kartika*

Department of Chemistry, Louisiana State University, 232 Choppin Hall, Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70803, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Herein, we describe a mild method to prepare aliphatic and aromatic vinyl chlorides from their corresponding ketones via triphosgene–pyridine activation in dichloromethane at reflux. The mechanism of this reaction is proposed to involve formation of a putative α-chloro pyridinium carbamate intermediate, which appeared to readily undergo E2 elimination in the presence of pyridine.



Vinyl chlorides are a ubiquitous functional group. They are readily found in numerous chlorine-containing natural products.[1] Furthermore, vinyl chlorides play a significant role in organic synthesis, as they serve as a convenient intermediate for transition metal-catalyzed carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom bond-forming processes.[2] Naturally, syntheses of vinyl chlorides have been subjected to multiple studies because of their broad applications. In fact, there are abundant precedents on the production of vinyl chlorides from a variety of starting materials, including α,β-unsaturated carbonyl compounds,[3] vinyl trifluoroborates,[4] vinyl triflates,[5] alkynes,[6] and cyclopropenes.[7] Preparation of vinyl chlorides could be also expediently carried out from ketones. Nevertheless, there are many challenges often associated with this approach. For example, many of the established protocols often used strongly acidic conditions[8] or harsh halogenating reagents, such as thionyl chloride and other chlorinated phosphorus-based reagents (PCl_3, PCl_5, $POCl_3$).[9] These sets of reaction conditions thereby limited the scope of substrates.[10] There are also reports on methods that generated byproducts, including contamination of *geminal*-dichloride adducts that were often inseparable by chromatography from the desired vinyl chlorides.[11]

Driven by our synthetic interests in chlorosulfolipid natural products,[12] we recently developed a series of new reactions that enabled robust conversion of unreactive aliphatic alcohols to the corresponding alkyl chlorides under exceedingly mild conditions.[13] For instance, as shown in Scheme 1, we discovered that treatment of secondary alcohols with triphosgene and pyridine in dichloromethane at reflux cleanly produced the corresponding alkyl chlorides with excellent stereospecificity.[13a] Our chemistry was operationally simple; the existence of triphosgene as a stable nonhygroscopic crystalline material at room temperature permitted easy and safe handling of the chlorinating reagent.[14] In fact, this reaction required neither scrupulously inert nor anhydrous conditions. More importantly, our chlorination method was high-yielding and advantageously tolerated by a variety of sensitive functionalities that otherwise would be problematic under classical chlorination conditions.[13b] The mechanism of this reaction was proposed to involve conversion of the starting alcohol to pyridinium carbamate **2** via chloroformate **1** upon treatment with triphosgene and pyridine. This novel mode of nucleophilic activation subsequently enhanced the electrophilicity of intermediate **2** at the carboxyl position, specifically toward an S_N2 nucleophilic substitution by chloride ions, which ultimately led to an inversion of stereochemistry. This process also regenerated the nucleophilic promoter, i.e., pyridine, and carbon dioxide as the sole byproduct.

Scheme 1. Triphosgene–Pyridine-Promoted Stereospecific Chlorination of Alcohols



These studies naturally inspired us to investigate the applicability of our chlorination conditions to convert ketones to their corresponding chlorinated products, such as vinyl chlorides. Literature examples on the utility of triphosgene to activate ketones are quite rare. One such example was reported by Su and Jin, in which they synthesized vinyl chlorides by subjecting ketones to a mixture of catalytic $Sc(OTf)_3$–DMF–benzoyl chloride in the presence of triphosgene.[11a] Herein, we report our metal-free variant of this chemistry, highlighted by a

Received: May 21, 2015
Published: August 6, 2015

DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b01137
J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 8815–8820



Figure 1. Reaction optimization. Yields were determined by GC analyses of the crude mixtures, assuming that the starting material and product elicited identical GC responses. The remaining material in the crude mixture was unreacted starting ketone **3**.

convenient and practical use of triphosgene and pyridine under mild conditions to achieve this useful functional group interconversion.

Our preliminary studies are depicted in Figure 1, in which 4-*tert*-butyl cyclohexanone **3** was employed as the starting material. As shown in Figure 1A, these studies were initially carried out by varying the amount of pyridine by 1.0 equiv increments while employing a constant 0.5 equiv of triphosgene. These reactions were performed at room temperature as a 100 mM solution in dichloromethane based on starting ketone **3**. The progress of reaction was then periodically monitored by GC analyses. Gratifyingly, these pilot conditions readily generated the corresponding vinyl chloride **4**. We observed that the extent of product formation appeared to be directly correlated to the amount of pyridine introduced to the solution, but the starting ketone was not fully consumed under these conditions even after 48 h of stirring. Interestingly, an attempt to activate ketone **3** with triphosgene and pyridine in nonchlorinated solvents failed to yield the desired vinyl chloride. In addition, the use of other chlorinated solvents, such as chloroform and dichloroethane, only resulted in lower conversion yields.

To help push the reaction to reach completion, the above experiments were repeated at reflux (Figure 1B). Similarly, the reaction appeared to plateau at 80% conversion with 4.0 equiv of pyridine. As shown in Figure 1C,D, we then increased the amount of triphosgene to 1.0 equiv while steadily modulating the amount of pyridine from 1.0 to 4.0 equiv. This new set of reactions were also conducted both at room temperature and reflux. Eventually, the use of 4.0 equiv of pyridine at reflux was found to yield the highest production of vinyl chloride **4** (up to 97% GC conversion) after 48 h of reaction.

Overall, the optimal reaction conditions were established to involve the use of 1.0 equiv of triphosgene and 4.0 equiv of pyridine in dichloromethane at reflux. We then evaluated the compatibility of various ketones in this methodology. To expedite the rate of reaction, the scope of substrate study was performed in preparative scales at 200 mM concentration for aliphatic ketones or 500 mM for aromatic ketones. As shown in Table 1, entries 1−5, various cyclohexanone-derived substrates were initially subjected to the chlorination conditions. Simple cyclohexanone cleanly produced the corresponding vinyl chloride **6a** in 100% GC conversion. However, an attempt to purify this product led to only 20% isolation yield, presumably due to its rapid decomposition during column chromatography. The use of 4-*tert*-butylcyclohexanone and 4-phenylcyclohexanone generated vinyl chlorides **6b** and **6c**, respectively, in quantitative GC yields and good isolation yields. Exposure of 2-phenylcyclohexanone and 2-*sec*-butylcyclohexanones to these chlorination conditions furnished vinyl chlorides **6d** and **6e** as a mixture of regioisomers, favoring the fully substituted double bond. Interestingly, starting materials **5d** and **5e** were not fully consumed after 24 h of stirring. Similarly, the apparent instability of vinyl chlorides **6d** and **6e** toward chromatographic purification resulted in reduced isolation yields.

While cyclic ketones appeared to be more readily tolerated in this methodology, acyclic aliphatic ketone, such as dibenzylketone **5f**, was surprisingly rather problematic. Treatment of this compound to the established triphosgene−pyridine activation led to formation of vinyl chloride **6f** in modest 43% conversion even though the reaction was performed at an increased concentration for an extended period of time. In addition, the GC analysis of the crude material revealed a 5:1 mixture of olefin isomers, favoring the *Z* geometry. As demonstrated in entries 7−9, the compatibility of various aromatic ketones was also investigated through the use of substituted acetophenones **5g**−**5i**. Similarly, the corresponding vinyl chlorides **6g**−**6i** were produced in varying degrees of conversion and isolation yields, depending upon the stability of the products during chromatography. Unexpectedly, *para*-nitroacetophenone gen-

DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b01137
J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 8815−8820

Table 1. Scope of Substrates



entry	substrate	product(s)	GC Yield[a,b]	Isolation Yield[c]	time (h)
1[d]	 **5a**	 **6a**	100%	20%	16
2[d]	 **5b**	 **6b**	100%	80%	15
3[d]	 **5c**	 **6c**	100%	93%	24
4[d]	 **5d**	 **6d**	85% (3:1 rr)	42%	24
5[d]	 **5e**	 **6e**	66% (6:1 rr)	34%	24
6[e]	 **5f**	 **6f**	43% (5:1 *Z*:*E*)	23% (5:1 *Z*:*E*)[f]	72
7[e]	 **5g**	 **6g**	71%	33%	48
8[e]	 **5h**	 **6h**	89%	22%	48
9[e]	 **5i**	 **6i**	51%[g]	54%[h]	72
10[e]	 **5j**	 **6j**	98%	54%	24
11[d]	 **5k**	 **6k**	79%	41%	24
12[e]	 **5l**	 **6l**	100%	25%	24
13[e]	 **5m**	 **6m**	trace[i]	--	24

[a]Yields were determined by GC-MS analyses of the crude mixtures, assuming that the starting material and product elicited identical GC responses. [b]The remaining material in the crude mixture was unreacted starting ketone. [c]Low isolation yields were presumably due to decomposition of vinyl chlorides during chromatography. We screened a variety of chromatographic conditions, including the use of neutralized silica and alumina. [d]Reactions were performed at 200 mM concentration based on starting ketones. [e]Reactions were performed at 500 mM concentration based on starting ketones. [f]The olefin isomers were inseparable by chromatography. The *E*/*Z* ratio was determined by ^{1}H NMR. The major olefin geometry was determined by an NOE experiment. [g]The GC-MS analysis of the crude material indicated 10% of *geminal*-dichloride byproduct **7**. [h]The combined yield for an inseparable 5:1 mixture of vinyl chloride **6i** and *geminal*-dichloride byproduct **7**.



7

[i]Decomposition of starting material was observed.

erated *geminal*-dichloride adduct **7** in 10% yield. This byproduct was inseparable by chromatography from the desired vinyl chloride **6i**. We also subjected 1-tetralone **5j** to this reaction, and this compound furnished the corresponding product **6j** in good conversion and isolation yields. As shown in entries 11−13, the use of more elaborate substrates, such as cyclohexane-1,3-dione **5k**, readily produced the corresponding *bis*(vinyl chloride) **6k** in decent yield. Furthermore, exposure of *α*,*β*-unsaturated ketone **5l** to these chlorination conditions afforded the desired divinyl chloride **6l** in 100% conversion, but the apparent instability of this compound under chromatography again rendered a low isolation yield. Interestingly, *α*,*β*-unsaturated ketone **5m** was not reactive under identical chlorination conditions. In fact, this starting material produced only a trace amount of divinyl chloride **6m**, along with other unidentifiable decomposition materials.

The mechanism for our vinyl chloride formation is proposed in Scheme 2. We believed that activation of ketones with

Scheme 2. Proposed Reaction Mechanism



DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b01137
J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 8815−8820

triphosgene and pyridine in dichloromethane initially produced α-chloro chloroformate **8**. Although this presumed intermediate was not observed in any of our GC-MS and NMR studies, the potential participation of this species was supported by Coghlan's report, which described the conversion of aldehydes to analogous α-chloro chloroformate functionality under similar reaction conditions.[15] As depicted in Figure 1, the extent of vinyl chloride formation appeared to be dependent on the concentration of pyridine. This could hypothetically suggest the involvement of pyridine as a nucleophilic activator, which transformed α-chloro chloroformate **8** to the corresponding α-chloro pyridinium carbamate intermediate **9**.[13a] Such a putative reactive intermediate then proceeded to undergo an E2 elimination of the pyridinium carbamate moiety upon deprotonation of the adjacent α-hydrogen, presumably by pyridine. This process would eventually produce the corresponding vinyl chloride while regenerating pyridine and releasing carbon dioxide as a byproduct. Nevertheless, at this point, we cannot rule out an alternative pathway that involves the possibility of α-chloro chloroformate **8** undergoing direct E2 elimination induced by pyridine.

In conclusion, we have demonstrated that ketones could be conveniently transformed to vinyl chlorides, using a simple protocol with a mixture of triphosgene and pyridine in dichloromethane at reflux. Although our method is proven to be mild and applicable for various types of ketones, the presumed instability of the desired vinyl chloride products under chromatography rendered their isolation rather difficult. Nonetheless, our investigations have shed a new insight into a novel activation of α-chloro chloroformates by pyridine to α-chloro pyridinium carbamate intermediates.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

All materials, unless otherwise stated, were purchased from commercial sources and utilized without further purification. Anhydrous reactions were conducted in oven-dried glassware, which was then cooled under vacuum and purged with nitrogen gas. Anhydrous solvents (dichloromethane, toluene, acetonitrile, diethyl ether, and tetrahydrofuran) were filtered through activated 3 Å molecular sieves under nitrogen in a solvent purification system. Reactions were either monitored by analytical thin-layer chromatography (TLC Silica Gel 60 F_{254}, glass plates) and analyzed using 254 nm UV light and anisaldehyde−sulfuric acid or potassium permanganate stains or via gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). The column for the GC-MS system was 5% phenyl methyl siloxane, measuring 30 m in length with an internal diameter of 250 μm and film thickness of 0.25 μm. Low and high mass readings were set to 40 and 800 *m*/*z*, respectively. Oven, inlet, and detector temperatures were set to 250 °C, and helium was used as the inert carrier gas. Column chromatography was completed using silica gel or neutral alumina. Unless otherwise noted, all ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR spectra were recorded in $CDCl_3$ using a spectrometer operating at 400 MHz for ^{1}H and 100 MHz for ^{13}C. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm relative to residual $CHCl_3$ as an internal reference (^{1}H, 7.26 ppm; ^{13}C, 77.00 ppm). Coupling constants (*J*) are reported in hertz (Hz). Peak multiplicity is indicated as follows: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), q (quartet), p (pentet), x (septet), h (heptet), b (broad), and m (multiplet). FT-IR spectra were recorded using thin films, and absorption frequencies are reported in reciprocal centimeters (cm^{-1}). High-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) analyses were performed using an electron spray ionization-time of flight (ESI-TOF) method.

General Procedure. Into an oven-dried pressure vessel was dissolved ketone (1.0 equiv) in anhydrous CH_2Cl_2 (200 mM or 500 mM concentration). Triphosgene (1.0 equiv) was added in one portion, followed by pyridine (4.0 equiv). The mixture was then warmed to a gentle reflux at 35 °C in a bath, and the progress of the reaction was monitored by GC-MS analyses. Upon completion, unless otherwise noted, the crude mixture was partition with 1 M HCl and CH_2Cl_2 (1:1 ratio, 20 mL). The aqueous layer was further extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were then dried over Na_2SO_4 and concentrated under vacuum. The resulting residue was then purified by flash column chromatography.

1-Chloro-cyclohexene (6a).[16] Ketone **5a** (208 μL, 2.00 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (10.0 mL) along with triphosgene (593 mg, 2.00 mmol) and pyridine (647 μL, 8.00 mmol). Without aqueous workup, the crude mixture was directly purified with column chromatography on neutral alumina using 100% pentane to afford vinyl chloride **6a** (47 mg, 0.40 mmol) as a colorless oil. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 5.79−5.78 (m, 1H), 2.30−2.25 (m, 2H), 2.10−2.05 (m, 2H), 1.75−1.69 (m, 2H), 1.60−1.54 (m, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 131.9, 124.5, 32.8, 26.1, 23.7, 21.3. IR (cm^{-1}): 2917, 2849, 2045, 1463, 995, 700. GC-MS: t_R = 7.55 min; M^+ calcd for C_6H_9Cl, 116.0; found, 116.1.

4-*tert*-Butyl-1-chloro-cyclohexene (6b).[11b] Ketone **5b** (309 mg, 2.00 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (10.0 mL) along with triphosgene (593 mg, 2.00 mmol) and pyridine (647 μL, 8.00 mmol). After aqueous workup, the crude mixture was purified with column chromatography on silica gel using 100% hexanes to afford vinyl chloride **6b** (276 mg, 1.60 mmol) as a colorless oil. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 5.77 (t, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.40−2.26 (m, 2H), 2.10 (m, 1H), 1.90−1.82 (m, 2H), 1.39−1.24 (m, 2H), 0.87 (s, 9H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 131.6, 124.6, 43.0, 34.0, 32.1, 27.6, 27.2, 25.1. IR (cm^{-1}): 2959, 2868, 1366, 1025, 982, 719. GC-MS: t_R = 13.00 min; M^+ calcd for $C_{10}H_{17}Cl$, 172.1; found, 172.1.

4-Phenyl-1-chloro-cyclohexene (6c).[17] Ketone **5c** (261 mg, 1.50 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (7.5 mL) along with triphosgene (445 mg, 1.50 mmol) and pyridine (485 μL, 6.00 mmol). After aqueous workup, the crude mixture was purified with column chromatography on silica gel using 100:0 → 98:2 → 96:4 hexanes/EtOAc to afford vinyl chloride **6c** (267 mg, 1.39 mmol) as white crystals. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 7.38 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 5.95 (t, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (m, 1H), 2.59 (m, 1H), 2.45 (dd, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 2H), 2.32 (m, 1H), 2.10−1.97 (m, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 145.6, 131.8, 128.6, 126.8, 126.4, 124.1, 39.0, 34.0, 33.3, 30.8. IR (cm^{-1}): 3027, 2920, 2839, 978, 755, 698. GC-MS: t_R = 17.37 min; M^+ calcd for $C_{12}H_{13}Cl$, 192.1; found, 192.1.

2-Phenyl-1-chloro-cyclohexene (6d).[18] Ketone **5d** (261 mg, 1.50 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (7.5 mL) along with triphosgene (445 mg, 1.50 mmol) and pyridine (485 μL, 6.00 mmol). After aqueous workup, the crude mixture was purified with column chromatography on silica gel, buffered with 1% Et_3N, using 100% hexanes to afford vinyl chloride **6d** (121 mg, 0.63 mmol) as a colorless oil. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 7.40−7.36 (m, 2H), 7.31−7.28 (m, 3H), 2.53−2.50 (m, 2H), 2.44−2.39 (m, 2H), 1.87−1.77 (m, 4H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 141.6, 134.4, 128.1, 128.1, 127.8, 126.9, 34.1, 33.0, 23.9, 22.7. IR (cm^{-1}): 3055, 3022, 2932, 2860, 1003, 756, 698. GC-MS: t_R = 16.90 min; M^+ calcd for $C_{12}H_{13}Cl$, 192.1; found, 192.1. The minor regioisomer was not isolable, presumably due to its instability to column chromatography.

2-*sec*-Butyl-1-chloro-cyclohexene (6e). Ketone **5e** (338 μL, 2.00 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (10.0 mL) along with triphosgene (593 mg, 2.00 mmol) and pyridine (647 μL, 8.00 mmol). After aqueous workup, the crude mixture was purified with column chromatography on silica gel, buffered with 1% Et_3N, using 100% hexanes to afford vinyl chloride **6e** (118 mg, 0.68 mmol) as a yellow oil. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 2.58−2.49 (h, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.30−2.16 (m, 2H), 2.07−1.90 (m, 2H), 1.75−1.69 (m, 2H), 1,63−1.57 (m, 2H), 1.31 (p, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 0.95 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 0.81 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 148.7, 144.1, 129.7, 34.4, 27.1, 26.4, 22.8, 22.4, 22.1, 18.2, 12.2. IR (cm^{-1}): 2962, 2934, 2874, 1782, 1129, 1061, 847. GC-MS: t_R = 12.61 min; M^+ calcd for $C_{10}H_{17}Cl$, 172.1; found, 172.1. HR-MS: $(M - H + O)^{\bullet+}$ calcd for $C_{10}H_{16}ClO$, 187.0885; found, 187.0880. The minor regioisomer was not isolable, presumably due to its instability to column chromatography.

DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b01137
J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 8815−8820

1,1′-[(1*E*/*Z*)-2-Chloro-1-propene-1,3-diyl]bis-benzene (6f).[19] Ketone **6f** (210 mg, 2.00 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (4.0 mL) along with triphosgene (593 mg, 2.00 mmol) and pyridine (647 μL, 8.00 mmol). Without aqueous workup, the crude mixture was directly purified with column chromatography on neutral alumina using 100% pentane to afford vinyl chloride **6f** (104 mg, 0.46 mmol) as a colorless oil in a mixture of 5:1 *Z*/*E* olefin isomers. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, *denotes the minor isomer): δ 7.64 (d, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.41−7.28 (m, 10H), 6.99 (s, 0.2H)*, 6.57 (s, 1H), 3.96 (s, 0.4H)*, 3.83 (s, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, *denotes the minor isomer): δ 137.4, 135.0, 133.5, 130.1*, 129.1, 129.1, 128.7*, 128.6, 128.5*, 128.2, 127.7, 127.6*, 127.0, 126.8*, 125.9, 47.4, 40.6*. IR (cm^{-1}): 2916, 2849, 2067, 2046, 1493, 1453, 750, 695. GC-MS for major isomer: t_R = 20.02 min; M^+ calcd for $C_{15}H_{13}Cl$, 228.7; found, 228.1. GC-MS for minor isomer: t_R = 20.43 min; M^+ calcd for $C_{15}H_{13}Cl$, 228.7; found, 228.1. Major olefin isomer was determined by NOESY experiment (see Supporting Information).

1-Chloro-vinylbenzene (6g).[11b] Ketone **5g** (233 μL, 2.00 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (4.0 mL) along with triphosgene (593 mg, 2.00 mmol) and pyridine (647 μL, 8.00 mmol). Without aqueous workup, the crude mixture was directly purified with column chromatography on silica gel, buffered with 1% Et_3N, using 100:0 → 98:2 → 96:4 hexanes/EtOAc to afford vinyl chloride **6g** (90 mg, 0.65 mmol) as a yellow oil. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 7.66 (dd, *J* = 2.1 and 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.40−7.38 (m, 3H), 5.80 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 5.56 (d, *J* = 1.9 Hz, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 140.0, 136.9, 129.1, 128.3, 126.4, 112.7. IR (cm^{-1}): 2925, 1774, 1446, 1220, 879, 768, 670. GC-MS: t_R = 10.66 min; M^+ calcd for C_8H_7Cl, 138.0; found, 138.1.

1-(1-Chloroethenyl)-4-methoxy-benzene (6h).[20] Ketone **5h** (300 mg, 2.00 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (4.0 mL) along with triphosgene (593 mg, 2.00 mmol) and pyridine (647 μL, 8.00 mmol). Without aqueous workup, the crude mixture was directly purified with column chromatography on neutral alumina using 100:0 → 98:2 → 92:8 pentane/EtOAc to afford vinyl chloride **6h** (74 mg, 0.44 mmol) as white crystals. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 7.57 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 6.88 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.65 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 5.41 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (s, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 160.3, 139.6, 129.6, 127.8, 113.6, 110.8, 55.4. IR (cm^{-1}): 2916, 2849, 2068, 2045, 1605, 1487, 1254, 834, 676. GC-MS: t_R = 14.62 min; M^+ calcd for C_9H_9ClO, 168.0; found, 168.0.

1-(1-Chloroethenyl)-4-nitro-benzene (6i). Ketone **5i** (330 mg, 2.00 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (4.0 mL) along with triphosgene (593 mg, 2.00 mmol) and pyridine (647 μL, 8.00 mmol). After aqueous workup, the crude mixture was purified with column chromatography on silica gel, buffered with 1% Et_3N, using 100:0 → 95:5 → 85:5 hexanes/EtOAc to afford vinyl chloride **6i** (198 mg, 1.08 mmol) as yellow crystals in a 5:1 mixture with *geminal*-dichloride product **7**. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$, *denotes the minor product): δ 8.24 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz)*, 8.21 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz)*, 7.78 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 5.94 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.73 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.56 (s, 3H)*. ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$, *denotes the minor product): δ 147.9,* 142.7, 137.8,* 127.2, 126.8,* 123.6, 123.6,* 116.5, 38.6*. IR (cm^{-1}): 2916, 2849, 2066, 2046, 1523, 1344, 859, 700. GC-MS of the major product: t_R = 16.56 min; M^+ calcd for $C_8H_6ClNO_2$, 183.0; found, 183.0. GC-MS for the minor product: t_R = 17.83 min; M^+ calcd for $C_8H_7Cl_2NO_2$, 219.0; found, 219.0. HR-MS: $(M + H)^+$ calcd for $C_8H_7ClNO_2$, 184.0163; found, 184.0160.

1-Chloro-3,4-dihydronaphtalene (6j).[11b] Ketone **5j** (266 μL, 2.00 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (4.0 mL) along with triphosgene (593 mg, 2.00 mmol) and pyridine (647 μL, 8.00 mmol). After aqueous workup, the crude mixture was purified with column chromatography on silica gel using 100% hexanes to afford vinyl chloride **6j** (177 mg, 1.08 mmol) as an orange oil. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 7.72 (1H, d, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 7.39−7.31 (2H, m), 7.24 (1H, d, *J* = 7.4 Hz), 6.29 (1H, t, *J* = 4.6 Hz), 2.94 (2H, t, *J* = 8.0 Hz), 2.52−2.47 (2H, m). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 136.4, 132.5, 130.6, 128.3, 127.4, 126.8, 126.1, 124.2, 27.7, 24.3. IR (cm^{-1}): 2934, 2888, 2833, 1161, 812, 758. GC-MS: t_R = 15.28 min; M^+ calcd for $C_{10}H_9Cl$, 164.0; found, 164.1.

1,3-Dichloro-1,3-cyclohexadiene (6k).[21] Diketone **5k** (224 mg, 2.00 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (10.0 mL) along with triphosgene (593 mg, 2.00 mmol) and pyridine (647 μL, 8.00 mmol). Without aqueous workup, the crude mixture was directly purified with column chromatography on silica gel, buffered with 1% Et_3N, using 100% hexanes to afford bis(vinyl chloride) **6k** (120 mg, 0.81 mmol) as a yellow oil. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 5.99 (q, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.75 (td, *J* = 4.5 and 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.56−2.50 (m, 2H), 2.47−2.40 (m, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$): δ 134.7, 126.7, 123.9, 119.7, 29.9, 24.4. IR (cm^{-1}): 2949, 2886, 2832, 1632, 1591, 1351, 1059, 805, 766, 731. GC-MS: t_R = 10.31 min; M^+ calcd for $C_6H_6Cl_2$, 148.0; found, 148.0.

3-Chloro-1-phenylbutadiene (6l).[11b] Ketone **5l** (292 mg, 2.00 mmol) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (4.0 mL) along with triphosgene (593 mg, 2.00 mmol) and pyridine (647 μL, 8.00 mmol). After aqueous workup, the crude mixture was purified with column chromatography on silica gel using 100% hexanes to afford divinyl chloride **6l** (81 mg, 0.49 mmol) as an orange oil, which appeared to rapidly decompose upon isolation. GC-MS: t_R = 14.87 min; M^+ calcd for $C_{10}H_9Cl$, 164.0; found, 164.1.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Ⓢ Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b01137.

GC-MS chromatograms for Figure 1 and Table 1, as well as ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR spectra for characterized compounds (PDF).

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: rkartika@lsu.edu.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

[†](L.N.) Undergraduate research participant.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation under CHE-1464788. Generous financial support from Louisiana State University is greatly appreciated. We thank Professor George Stanley for kindly allowing us to use the GC-MS instrument in his laboratories. We also thank Caitlan Ayala for her assistance in the preparation of this note.

■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Gribble, G. W. *Heterocycles* **2012**, *84*, 157. (b) Gribble, G. W. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1998**, *31*, 141.

(2) (a) Venkat Reddy, C. R.; Urgaonkar, S.; Verkade, J. G. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 4427. (b) Corsico, E. F.; Rossi, R. A. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 6427.

(3) (a) Liu, L.; Zhang-Negrerie, D.; Du, Y.; Zhao, K. *Org. Lett.* **2014**, *16*, 436. (b) Kim, K. M.; Park, I. H. *Synthesis* **2004**, *2004*, 2641. (c) Das, J. P.; Roy, S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 7861.

(4) Molander, G. A.; Cavalcanti, L. N. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 7195.

(5) Pan, J.; Wang, X.; Zhang, Y.; Buchwald, S. L. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 4974.

(6) (a) Yao, M.-L.; Quick, T. R.; Wu, Z.; Quinn, M. P.; Kabalka, G. W. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 2647. (b) Snelders, D. J. M.; Dyson, P. J. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 4048. (c) Li, X.; Shi, X.; Fang, M.; Xu, X. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 9499. (d) Lemay, A. B.; Vulic, K. S.; Ogilvie, W. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 3615.

(7) Wang, Y.; Lam, H. W. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 1353.

(8) Moughamir, K.; Mezgueldi, B.; Atmani, A.; Mestdagh, H.; Rolando, C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, *40*, 59.

(9) (a) Conrow, R. E.; Marshall, J. A. *Synth. Commun.* **1981**, *11*, 419. (b) Dehmlow, E. V.; Schell, H. G. *Chem. Ber.* **1980**, *113*, 1. (c) Kagan,

DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b01137
J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 8815−8820

J.; Arora, S. K.; Bryzgis, M.; Dhawan, S. N.; Reid, K.; Singh, S. P.; Tow, L. *J. Org. Chem.* **1983**, *48*, 703. (d) Griesbaum, K.; Kibar, R.; Pfeffer, B. *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1975**, *1975*, 214.
(10) (a) Kodomari, M.; Nagaoka, T.; Furusawa, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2001**, *42*, 3105. (b) Khurana, J. M.; Mehta, S. *Indian J. Chem., Sect. B: Org. Chem. Incl. Med. Chem.* **1988**, *27*, 1128. (c) Kelly, B. D.; Lambert, T. H. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 740.
(11) (a) Su, W.; Jin, C. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 993. (b) Spaggiari, A.; Vaccari, D.; Davoli, P.; Torre, G.; Prati, F. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 2216. (c) Aghapour, G.; Afzali, A. *Synth. Commun.* **2008**, *38*, 4023. (d) Horner, L.; Oediger, H.; Hoffmann, H. *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1959**, *626*, 26.
(12) (a) Umezawa, T.; Matsuda, F. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2014**, *55*, 3003. (b) Chung, W.-J.; Vanderwal, C. D. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2014**, *47*, 718. (c) Nilewski, C.; Carreira, E. M. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *2012*, 1685. (d) Bedke, D. K.; Vanderwal, C. D. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2011**, *28*, 15.
(13) (a) Villalpando, A.; Ayala, C. E.; Watson, C. B.; Kartika, R. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 3989. (b) Ayala, C. E.; Villalpando, A.; Nguyen, A. L.; McCandless, G. T.; Kartika, R. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 3676. (c) Saputra, M. A.; Forgey, R. L.; Henry, J. L.; Kartika, R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2015**, *56*, 1392.
(14) (a) Eckert, H.; Forster, B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1987**, *26*, 894. (b) Damle, S. B. *Chem. Eng. News* **1993**, *71*, 4. (c) Cotarca, L. *Org. Process Res. Dev.* **1999**, *3*, 377.
(15) Coghlan, M. J.; Caley, B. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 2033.
(16) Axenov, K. V.; Moemming, C. M.; Kehr, G.; Froehlich, R.; Erker, G. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 14069.
(17) Haddon, V. R.; Chen, H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1976**, *17*, 4669.
(18) Krishnappa, L.; Suresh, H. *Org. Chem. Int.* **2014**, 871595.
(19) Okubo, J.; Shimazaki, T.; Sato, S.; Shinozaki, H. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1994**, *67*, 1405.
(20) Barluenga, J.; Moriel, P.; Aznar, F.; Valdes, C. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2006**, *348*, 347.
(21) Clark, R. D.; Heathcock, C. H. *Synthesis* **1974**, *1974*, 47.

DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b01137
J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 8815−8820